

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/825,531	04/15/2004	Hisashi Shinkai	228161	8241
23460	7590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			MAIER, LEIGH C	
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE		. 4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, I	L 60601-6780		1623	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Office Action Commons	10/825,531	SHINKAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leigh C. Maier	1623			
- The MAILING DATE of this commun. Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	re withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	·	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.				
- 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	Application No			
•	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
• •	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies no	t received.			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _				

Application/Control Number: 10/825,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, drawn to compounds of formula I, classified in class 564 for the non-heterocyclic compounds, (class for heterocyclic compounds depends on the species), various subclasses.
- II. Claims 16-18, drawn to therapeutic methods, classified in class 514, various subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Krishnamurthy (US 4,740,438) has disclosed compounds of formula I to have utility as dye stabilizers. See compounds in table at col 3.

Claims are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species based on the identity of the variable "Z". Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (1, 2 or 3 below), even though this requirement is traversed.

- 1. H or an alkyl-type protecting group (page 114, lines 1-15)
- 2. Carbonyl- or thiocarbonyl-containing group (page 114, lines 16-19
- 3. Thio group (page 114, line 20)

Art Unit: 1623

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection

Art Unit: 1623

are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0656. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday 7:00 to 3:30 (ET).

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Anna Jiang (571) 272-0627, may be contacted. The fax number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (571) 273-8300.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Leigh C. Maier Primary Examiner

April 7, 2006